

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,023	07/1	6/2003	Jon C. Sandberg	90-02	1681
23713	7590	06/19/2006	EXAMINER		
	E WINNER	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, DUNG T		
SUITE 200	L EAST CIRC	LE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOULDER,	BOULDER, CO 80301				
				DATE MAILED: 06/19/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		S	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,023	SANDBERG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. tely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Max 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration. election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one of the correction of the cor		·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/03&03/19/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/622,023

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

In response to the Applicant remarks on 03/28/06, the restriction/election requirement mailed o9n 02/28/06 is withdrawn and claims 1-22 will be examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dufour (4933541) in view of 6469831).

With respect to claims 1-3, 5, 7-12, 14-17, 19, and 21-22, Dufour shows in Fig.1-2 a laser scattering system having reduced noise (col.4, lines 39-40) comprising:

a laser cavity having output along a laser beam axis (beam 30);

an output coupler coupled to one end of the laser cavity along the laser beam axis (Note that it is inherent that a laser cavity must have an output coupler in order to output the laser beam);

an optional compensating optic (34) (Note that the compensating optic is also a focus optic and a Rayleigh optic as described in the instant application on page 5, line 19 and hence a Rayleigh correction can be applied by using a Rayleigh optic) in optical communication with the output coupler;

an output detector (42) in optical communication with the compensating optic;

a gain adjusting element (56) in electrical communication with the output detector;

a scattered light detector (46) (col.4, lines 44-45) at a selected angle away (90 degrees) from the laser beam axis;

a differencing junction (52) in electrical communication with the gain adjusting element and in electrical communication with the scattered light detector (see col.4, lines 63-68 and col.5, lines 1-8).

However, Dufour lacks a multi-line laser.

Iizuka teaches a multi-line laser in claim 5.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Dufour what is taught by Iizuka to emit a laser beam having a plurality of wavelengths (claim 5).

With respect to claims 4, 6, 13, 18, and 20, Dufour discloses the desired signal is a scattered signal (col.4, lines 39-45).

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,023 Page 4

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Michael Dung Nguyen

Michael Myr

06/06/06